office who will have a vision for our country that goes beyond the next election. We need people in office who understand that encouraging creative pursuit could be critical to any number of sectors, from the next great technological idea to the next historic medical discovery. How do you put a price on that?

Creativity is made all the more special because it is a great intangible. It can come from the most unlikely places and from those that might not fit the "traditional" model of the artist. Creativity is inherent in all great endeavors whether traditionally artistic or not. It is creativity that must continue to be nurtured if we hope to reap the benefits of the many great minds we don't yet know. How do you put a price on that?

Yes there are pressing needs all around us. But completely ceasing to fund the arts is sadly shortsighted in any economy. Governments have to find a way to remain in the mix of resources for the arts and the private sector—corporations, foundations and individuals—they all need to find ways to help fill the gap during these tough times such as we're in now

And that includes my industry, which benefits greatly from a vital and thriving artistic force. When one thinks of Hollywood, art isn't necessarily the first thing to come to mind. Some would say it is often anti-art. No. It's first a business. But it is a business that cannot exist without creative talent in every facet of the making of its product. So, in the end, the challenge to create art still rests squarely on the artist not the industry. As in any medium, sometimes we succeed and sometimes we fail. But we succeed often enough to create films that inspire, expose, transform and provoke, amuse, entertain and even teach.

Just as all other arts did at the moment of their own conception, cinema transformed the world. For good or for bad, it is a universal communicator on a global platform. Film is an indigenous American art form even though it's always been a struggle to have it taken seriously as an art form. But we can't deny that business has significantly infiltrated the practice of art in general, and in particular film. The constant talk of grosses—dollars and cents as the benchmark of a film's worth—is very debilitating to the body of serious film discussion and appreciation. And after all, where would the business of film be without art as its seed.

While mine is a somewhat solid industry, it will be important in the years to come for it to embrace risks as readily as it does sure things. It must make sure that freedom of artistic expression is honored and nurtured across a broad spectrum. I believe strongly that keeping diversity alive in my industry will keep the industry alive.

will keep the industry alive.
For example, the Sundance Institute is a step toward making sure diverse voices and the creative energy they bring with them are given an opportunity to grow and evolve. Those who come to the Sundance labs to make films and those who come to the Festival, to show films really are a microcosm of the kind of diverse voices which our industry needs to continue to support and nurture if it wants to maintain itself. They are also the kind of voices that will join in characterizing us to the rest of the world in the years to come. It's all connected.

Even after two decades, Sundance continues to be a community work in progress, success and failure simultaneously evident, treating failure as a step toward growth, rather than the destruction of a vision. I look at the Sundance Film Festival and the innovative hustle demonstrated by scores of young filmmakers to bring their vision to the screen. They haven't curled up and died because they can't get government backing

for their projects. Somehow they find a way. But I'm sure if I took a quick poll, I'd find that most of them found art, found their voice, in neighborhood, community and school arts programs. That's where they began the dance with the wonders of creativity.

By the way, I started the Sundance Institute with a grant from the NEA when many others were skeptical of the idea's potential and ultimate worth. I will always be grateful to the NEA for believing in us at the time. It was instrumental in getting us started. It wasn't just the seed funding, but the seal of approval that gave the idea impetus.

What most of you know that maybe others don't is that out there right now is some kid with a great song in their head we've yet to hear or a novel in their heart that has yet to be written. There's someone out there that hasn't picked up a paintbrush yet but has a masterpiece on the horizon. There's a kid out there who hasn't picked up a camera yet but could end up making a memorable film of their time.

What most of you know that others might not as clearly see, is that the nurturing of creativity comes into play in everything from world diplomacy to world economics, business endeavors to social endeavors and everything in between. It is creativity that gives all of it the nuance that often makes the difference. In all its forms, art plays a critical role in finding our way as people and as a culture.

As President Kennedy said that day in Amherst: "I see little more importance to the future of our country and our civilization than full recognition of the place of the artist. If art is to nourish the roots of our culture, society must set the artist free to follow his vision wherever it takes him."

We hear the word freedom bandied about a lot these days. It's a sacred concept. How fortunate we are to have it. How viscerally we need to feel the commitment to protect it. To be able to be part of a freedom of expression that allows us to tell stories of our choice in the uniqueness of our own voices as citizens and as artists is not to be taken lightly. To be able to freely voice dissent in our hearts or in our art is something to protect at all costs. But then, the glory of art is that it can, not only survive change, it can inspire change.

It is for all these reasons that it behooves governments to sustain an environment that enables, supports and nurtures the free and creative expression of its citizenry.

I have great hope for the future of art and thus civil society as I look out over this room, and imagine the collective power, the collective voice that will not cower in the face of budget slashing critics, and will not surrender its advocacy for art and free expression. My hope comes from not only those gathered here tonight, but from the efforts of grassroots, state and national organizations; young artists I meet at Sundance film labs; inner-city elementary school kids who are learning to play music and write poetry; the literary and theater programs in prisons; and traveling exhibitions to rural communities all across the country.

Thank you to the co-sponsors of this evening. To Americans for the Arts my gratitude for your tireless and effective advocacy on behalf of art and all that comes with that. You truly make a difference and we're all the better for it. And to the Film Foundation a recognition and respect for the important work you do to inspire young artists through education and for protecting and restoring some of the greatest films of all time and thus enabling the diverse perspective of it all to live on.

Lastly, it is an honor to pay tribute to the memory and the contribution of Nancy

Hanks whom I knew and remember fondly. Nancy Hanks had a profoundly gifted perspective on cultural policy in the United States, that being access to the arts. Her legacy is the success of many of your programs; the creative mastery of many of the artists here tonight; and the commitment to freedom of expression that we collectively embrace. The life she lived really meant something.

So we go forth from here tonight to continue to try to enlighten those who dismiss the arts as unnecessary, irrelevant or dangerous. And we do so not only in the memory of Nancy Hanks, but in the name of the active and deserving imagination of every American child.

TRIBUTE TO DR. KENNETH CHAMBERS

HON. MELVIN L. WATT

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, October 17, 2003

Mr. WATT. Mr. Speaker, I am proud to honor Dr. Kenneth Chambers, a friend and constituent who is retiring after 40 years of practicing medicine. Dr. Chambers will be honored on Saturday, October 18 at Friendship Missionary Baptist Church in Charlotte, North Carolina, in my congressional district.

Dr. Kenneth Chambers graduated from Meharry Medical College in 1959 and started a general practice in Wadesboro, NC. In 1966, he completed his residency in obstetrics and gynecology at Harlem Hospital in New York. He later moved to Charlotte, where his brother Julius Chambers was practicing law.

I had the privilege to get to know Kenneth Chambers through his brother, who is the person who convinced me to return to my hometown of Charlotte to practice law. Dr. Kenneth Chambers helped blaze the trail for black doctors in Charlotte and went on to serve in many leadership positions such as President of the Charlotte Medical Society, the Old North State Medical Society and he served on the North Carolina Medical Board from 1995–2001.

Dr. Chambers has impacted the lives of many people throughout his remarkable career. I am pleased to honor him and wish him, his wife, Grace, and his entire family all the best as he begins the next chapter of his life.

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION REGARDING THE FAILURE OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN TO ADHERE TO ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER A SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT WITH THE INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

HON. JANE HARMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, October 17, 2003

Ms. HARMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to join my colleagues MARK KIRK, CURT WELDON and HOWARD BERMAN in introducing today a resolution expressing Congress's deepening concerns about Iran's nuclear program.

For many years, I have been persuaded by the available evidence that Iran was pursuing a nuclear weapons program, starting with